

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addease COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1430 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wopto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/724,564	11/26/2003	Wayne Edward Beimesch	414130	9670
36954 7590 0508/2009 LATHROP & GAGE LLP 2345 GRAND AVENUE			EXAMINER	
			ROGERS, DAVID A	
SUITE 2400 KANSAS CIT	Y. MO 64108		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	-,		2856	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/08/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Application/Control Number: 10/724,564 Page 2

Art Unit: 2856

DETAILED ACTION

1. In response to the appellant's reply brief filed on 24 November 2008, a supplemental

Examiner's Answer is set forth below.

(A) The appellant states on page 5 of the reply brief:

Second, although Appellant agrees with the Examiner that <u>pure</u> aluminum foil typically does not release significant amount of VOCs, this notion does not necessarily mean that

the aluminum foil material used in Hemphill also does not release significant amount of

VOCs. (emphasis in original)

This appears to be in response to the taking of official notice that aluminum does not

release VOCs. See page 3 of the final rejection mailed on 25 May 2007. In their

subsequent reply filed on 18 September 2007 the appellant unambiguously stated that they

agreed with the official notice statement. Nowhere does the appellant state that they

agreed that only <u>pure</u> aluminum does not release VOCs.

(B) The appellant states on page 5 of the reply brief:

Third, the Examiner has not provided any evidence to support the position that the

polyethylene material in Hemphill's bag does not release significant amount of VOCs.

The appellant is again reminded of their own written description which states

The enclosed bag preferably has an inner liner formed of aluminum foil and an outer layer formed of polymeric material (e.g., polyethylene) such that the bag can be

collapsible while samples are being withdrawn from the headspace. The foil lining can be

made of material other than foil so long as it achieves the purpose of being vapor

impermeable so as to not to lead to inaccurate VOC measurements.

(page 3 - lines 26-30)

The appellant's written description further states:

It should be understood, however, that any bag is suitable for use herein so long as it can

be safely stored at the requisite temperature and remain sufficiently collapsible."

Clearly, according to the appellant's written description, any polymeric material can be

used, with a preference for polyethylene. The sole disclosed purpose of the polymer is to

add strength to the bag.

Application/Control Number: 10/724.564 Page 3

Art Unit: 2856

The bags are constructed of material composed of 100% 28 gage aluminum foil on the inside (for sterile/inert cavity) and 48 gage polyethylene on the outside (for strength).

(page 5 - lines 23-25)

The appellant does not disclose in their originally-filed written description that the polymer

must not release VOCs - which is the reason for the foil liner's requirement to be vapor-

impermeable. However, absent the polymer/foil liner bag the appellant states that any other

bag can be used as long as its materials do not contribute to the VOC measurement. There

is no written description requirement for using a polymer that does not release VOCs. And

although the appellant discloses the use of 100% aluminum (page 5 - lines 23-25), they

themselves acquiesce to the fact that Hemphill's foil liner does not release VOCs as noted

above.

Conclusion

2 Appellant may file another reply brief in compliance with 37 C.F.R. 41.41 within two

months of the date of mailing of this supplemental examiner's answer. Extensions of time

under 37 C.F.R. 1.136(a) are not applicable to this two month time period. See 37 C.F.R.

41.43(b)-(c).

A Technology Center Director or designee has approved this supplemental

examiner's answer by signing below:

/David A. Rogers/

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2856

/Hezron Williams/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2856